LAPTH-044/16

$B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$ from $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-$

Francesco Dettori[a], **Diego Guadagnoli**[b] **and Méril Reboud**[b,c]

[a] *European Organization for Nuclear Research (CERN), Geneva, Switzerland*

[b] *Laboratoire d'Annecy-le-Vieux de Physique Théorique UMR5108, Université de Savoie Mont-Blanc et CNRS, B.P. 110, F-74941, Annecy-le-Vieux Cedex, France*

[c] *École Normale Supérieure de Lyon, F-69364, Lyon Cedex 07, France*

arXiv:1610.00629v2 [hep-ph] 14 Oct 2016

The radiative counterpart of the $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-$ decay offers additional sensitivity to effective operators that are interesting in the light of present-day discrepancies in flavor data. On the other hand, the direct measurement of the $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$ decay poses challenges with respect to the $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-$ one. We present a novel strategy to search for $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$ decays in the very event sample selected for $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-$ searches. The method consists in extracting the $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$ spectrum as a "contamination" to the $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-$ one, as the signal window for the latter is extended downward with respect to the peak region. We provide arguments for the actual practicability of the method already on Run 2 data of the LHC.

As well-known, the $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-$ decay is one of the cleanest low-energy probes of physics beyond the Standard Model (SM). At the effective-theory level, this decay is sensitive to the scalar and pseudoscalar operators $\mathcal{O}^{(\prime)}_{S,P}$, and to the operator $\mathcal{O}_{10}$ of the $b \to s\ell\ell$ effective hamiltonian (see for example [1]). Within the SM, to an excellent approximation only the operator $\mathcal{O}_{10}$ contributes. The SM-like [2] value of the current world average [3]

$$\mathcal{B}(B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-)_{\rm exp} = (2.8^{+0.7}_{-0.6}) \times 10^{-9} = (0.76^{+0.20}_{-0.18}) \times \mathcal{B}(B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-)_{\rm SM} \qquad (1)$$

forces scalar and pseudoscalar contributions to negligible values [4,5], whereas $\lesssim$O(15%) new contributions to the Wilson coefficient of the operator $\mathcal{O}_{10}$ are allowed by present errors, and actually favoured (if in destructive interference with the SM contribution) by the about 25% too low central value in eq. (1).

Adding a photon to the final state, namely considering the $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$ decay, yields an observable sensitive not only to $\mathcal{O}_{10}$, but also to $\mathcal{O}_9$ and to the electromagnetic-dipole operator $\mathcal{O}_7$ [6–11]. (Sensitivity to $\mathcal{O}_7$ occurs for values of the final-state invariant mass squared close to zero. As our discussion will be concerned with the high invariant-mass region, this operator will not be considered any further.) Increasing the number of observables sensitive to these operators, especially $\mathcal{O}_9$ and $\mathcal{O}_{10}$, is very important, because the LHCb experiment as well as the B factories performed a number of measurements of $b \to s$ transitions, and the overall agreement with the SM is less than perfect. Discrepancies concern in particular: the ratio known as $R_K \equiv \mathcal{B}(B^+ \to K^+\mu\mu)/\mathcal{B}(B^+ \to K^+ee)$ [12], showing a 2.6σ deficit with respect to the SM [13–16]; the absolute $B^+ \to K^+\mu\mu$ branching ratio [17, 18], about 30% lower than the SM [19–21]; the measurement of $\mathcal{B}(B_s \to \phi\mu\mu)$ [22, 23], lower than the SM prediction by more than 3σ [22]; the quantity known as P_5' [24], measured by both LHCb [25, 26] and Belle [27], whose theoretical error is, however, still debated [28–32]. Remarkably, one can find a consistent theoretical interpretation of all these discrepancies

and of eq. (1) within an effective-theory approach [5, 33, 34]. Data can be accounted for at one stroke with new contributions to C_9 only, or jointly to C_9 and C_{10}, C_i being the Wilson coefficient of the operator $\mathcal{O}_i$. Further, the indications of new-physics (NP) couplings preferring muons over electrons can be accommodated by invoking an effective interaction coupled dominantly (before electroweak-symmetry breaking) to third-generation fermions [35]. This possibility would even allow to relate the mentioned $b \to s$ discrepancies with others existing in $b \to c$ transitions [36].

Given its sensitivity to C_9 and C_{10} alike, the radiative decay $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$ offers an additional probe into physics beyond the SM, and, as discussed above, in a direction interesting in the light of current data. However, the direct measurement of radiative hadron decays is harder with respect to their non-radiative counterparts for various reasons. First, the detection and reconstruction efficiency of a photon is typically smaller than the one of charged tracks. Secondly, the energy being shared with the additional photon makes the other daughter particles softer, yielding smaller trigger efficiencies. Furthermore, the invariant mass reconstructed in decays with photons has, at these energies, a worse resolution than in decays without. This in turn leads to a larger background under the signal peak. The above considerations hold in particular for hadron-collider experiments, due to the high occupancy of typical events, and for low-energy processes such as those of interest to flavour physics. Despite these difficulties, rare radiative decays with branching ratios of order $10^{-6} \div 10^{-7}$ have been observed and exploited for NP searches by several experiments, see [37] for a recent review. However, the rates just mentioned are still very 'abundant' if compared to the $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-$ decay and its radiative counterpart. The latter poses therefore a formidable challenge for direct detection.

In this note we propose a method to search for $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$ events in the very same event sample selected for the $\mathcal{B}(B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-)$ measurement. In one sentence, the method consists in measuring $\mathcal{B}(B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-\gamma)$ as "contamination" to $\mathcal{B}(B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-)$, by suitably enlarging downward the signal window for the latter search. This possibility requires a number of qualifications, since the very $\mathcal{B}(B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-)$ measurement comes *per se* with some subtleties as far as photons are concerned – notably the treatment of soft final-state radiation.

In an idealised measurement, the $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-$ decay appears as a peak in the invariant mass squared of the two final-state muons, with negligible intrinsic width.[1] Already at this level, however, the 'definition' of the final-state muons is complicated by the fact that they emit soft bremsstrahlung, giving rise to $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^- + n\gamma$ decays, with the n photons undetected. This effect is however well known [38–40]. As reappraised in ref. [41], it can be summed analytically to all orders in the soft-photon approximation, yielding a multiplicative correction to the non-radiative rate. This contribution skews downwards the peak region of the $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-$ distribution, as shown by the dotted orange curve of fig. 1.

In order to compare the measured $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-$ rate with the theoretical one [2], the mentioned soft-radiation tail due to $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^- + n\gamma$ needs to be subtracted off. For example, a $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-$ signal window extending down to about 5.3 GeV is equivalent to a single-photon energy cut $E_\gamma \simeq 20 \div 100$ MeV, amounting to a negative shift of $\mathcal{B}(B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-)$ as large as 15% [41]. Experimentally, the radiative tail is obtained and taken into account using Monte Carlo $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-$ events with full detector simulation and with bremsstrahlung photon emission modeled through the PHOTOS application [42]. The advantage of this approach over the analytic one [41] is that the correction factor is already adjusted for detector efficiencies.

For softer and softer photons (namely for $m_{\mu\mu}$ closer and closer to the B_s peak region), the

[1] The experimental resolution in the muon momenta gives this peak an approximately Gaussian shape, the width being for example of about 25 MeV for the LHCb experiment [3].



Figure 1: Breakup of the full $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$ spectrum (solid blue) – calculated in ref. [11], denoted as MN in the legend – into its pure ISR component (long-dashed blue), FSR one (medium-dashed blue), and ISR-FSR interference (dot-dashed blue). We also report the $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^- + n\gamma$ spectrum in the soft-photon approximation (dotted orange) from ref. [41], denoted as BGGI in the legend.

single-photon component in $\mathcal{B}(B_s \to \mu^+\mu^- + n\gamma)$ is expected to match the radiative branching ratio $\mathcal{B}(B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-\gamma)$, as computed in ref. [11] to leading order in $\alpha_{\rm em}$ (see also update in ref. [43]).[2] This is indeed the case, as shown by comparing the solid blue distribution with the dotted orange one in fig. 1. We can actually go farther in this comparison by separating the contributions due to photons emitted from final-state leptons – to be denoted as final-state radiation (FSR) – with respect to the rest – to be collectively referred to as initial-state radiation (ISR) contributions. This separation makes sense to the extent that we can identify two regions in $m_{\mu\mu}$ where only one of the two contributions is dominant. The breakup of the $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$ spectrum into its different components is likewise reported in Fig. 1. As well-known, the FSR contribution is dominant for soft photons (or high $m_{\mu\mu}$), whereas the ISR one dominates for harder and harder photons, namely as $m_{\mu\mu}$ decreases from the peak region. The crossover region between the two contributions is at $m_{\mu\mu} \approx 5.0$ GeV.

More importantly for our purposes, the contribution from the interference term is always below 1% of the total spectrum.[3] This holds true fairly generally also beyond the SM. In particular, shifts in C_9 and C_{10} with opposite sign with respect to the respective SM contributions, as hinted at by the recent $b \to s$ discrepancies mentioned earlier, tend to decrease the interference term even further. As a consequence, the ISR and FSR contributions can be treated as two basically independent spectra.

In short, to the extent that the FSR contribution can be systematically subtracted off, as is the case for $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-$ searches, one can measure the ISR component of the $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$ spectrum – and thereby the $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$ differential rate – as "contamination" of $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-$ candidate events as the signal window is enlarged downwards. Fig. 2 shows in

[2] In this spirit, we would also expect the ISR component of the $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$ spectrum calculated in ref. [44] to match, in the $m_{\mu\mu}$ region close to the endpoint of this distribution, the corresponding spectrum calculated in ref. [11]. We actually find that, while the two distributions have a similar shape, the distribution from [44] is, in the mentioned $m_{\mu\mu}$ region, a factor of almost 4 above the one in [11]. Barring a normalisation typo in ref. [44], we are unable to physically interpret this difference.

[3] On the correct sign of the interference term see ref. [45].



Figure 2: Fraction of the full $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$ spectrum as a function of the chosen signal-region lower bound $m_{\mu\mu}^{\rm ISR}$, for three scenarios, specified in the legend. See text for details.

more detail how large this contamination is expected to be. The figure displays the fraction of the full $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$ spectrum as a function of the chosen value for $m_{\mu\mu}^{\rm ISR}$ for the SM case, as well as for the two scenarios that best fit the $b \to s$ anomalies: one with a $V-A$ shift to C_9 and C_{10}, and such that $\delta C_9 = -12\%\, C_9^{\rm SM}$, the other with a C_9-only shift such that $\delta C_9 = -30\%\, C_9^{\rm SM}$ [5]. The figure reveals that this fraction is larger within the SM than in the considered NP scenarios. For example, it is about 4.8% in the SM for a $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-$ signal window extending down to $m_{\mu\mu}^{\rm ISR} = 4.6$ GeV, whereas it is about 4% in the $V-A$ scenario. We note that the associated event yield is large, comparable to that for the $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-$ signal (see also fig. 3 below), because the $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$ rate integrates to a total branching ratio of about 2×10^{-8} [11], an order of magnitude above the $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-$ one.

With enough statistics, one can go beyond the integrated $\mathcal{B}(B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-\gamma)$, and measure the $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$ spectrum. This could be within reach of LHC experiments with Run 2 data. A simple argument in support of this expectation is the following. Shifts to the differential branching ratio are roughly linear in shifts to C_9 or C_{10}. Therefore, for a C_9 or C_{10} deviation of the order of 15% (as hinted at by the global fits to $b \to s$ data), the corresponding variation in the spectrum is expected to be about 15% as well. Then, a fit to data could resolve such shift at one standard deviation for an event yield of about 50, provided the other components are well constrained. Specifically, the partially reconstructed and combinatorial backgrounds will be larger at lower masses, reducing in part this sensitivity, which however could be improved with a dedicated optimisation.

As underlined above, in present $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-$ searches the $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$ component is *already* a contamination of the $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-$ dimuon mass spectrum. However, this component is negligible in the typical window of $\pm 3 \div 5$ standard deviations around the $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-$ peak. Furthermore, its smooth distribution can be absorbed in other background distributions due, for example, to combinatorial background or partially reconstructed B decays. For this reason it was typically not included as *separate* component in recent $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-$ decay measurements [46–48].


Candidates / (44 MeV/c^2)
$m_{\mu^+\mu^-}$ [MeV/c^2]
LHCb data points 3 fb^{-1}
Full fit
$B_s^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^- \gamma$ SM
$B_s^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^- \gamma$ NP
$B_d^0 \to \mu^+ \mu^-$
$B_s^0 \to h^+ h'^-$
$B^{0(+)} \to \pi^{0(+)} \mu^+ \mu^-$
$B_{(s)}^0 \to \pi^- (K^-) \mu^+ \nu$
Combinatorial

Figure 3: Dimuon invariant mass distribution from LHCb's measurement of $\mathcal{B}(B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-)$ [46] overlayed with the contribution expected from $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$ decays (ISR only). Assumes flat efficiency versus $m_{\mu^+\mu^-}$. The line denoted as '$B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$ NP' refers to the $V-A$ case with $\delta C_9 = -12\%\, C_9^{\rm SM}$ (see also fig. 2). The two filled curves are not stacked onto each other.

In fig. 3 we show the expected 'size' of the $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$ spectrum by superimposing the latter to the recent LHCb $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-$ analysis of ref. [46]. We show the case of a SM signal as well as the NP case mentioned earlier, namely $\delta C_9 = -\delta C_{10} = -12\%\, C_9^{\rm SM}$. This spectrum is obtained from our theoretical calculation, i.e. it is *not* a fit to existing $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-$ data. The spectrum assumes that normalisation and efficiency be equal to those of the $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-$ distribution itself. This is exactly true by definition at the endpoint $m_{\mu\mu} = m_{B_s^0}$, and increasingly less so for lower masses, due to the various selection criteria. For example, typical analyses enforce pointing requirements with respect to the primary interaction vertex, and the latter are less satisfied when an additional undetected photon is present. These issues need validation against a dedicated study. Separate consideration likewise deserve the several other decays to which the method is potentially applicable. To such decays belongs in principle the radiative counterpart of any other two-body decay whereby the initial-state meson mass is completely reconstructible. A straightforward example are all the other $B_q \to \ell^+\ell^-\gamma$ modes, for which the only existing limits concern $B_d \to e^+e^-\gamma$ or $\mu^+\mu^-\gamma$ with a technique based on explicit photon reconstruction [49].

In conclusion, we presented a novel method for the extraction of the $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$ spectrum at high $m^2_{\mu\mu}$. The method avoids the drawbacks of explicit photon reconstruction, and takes advantage of the fact that this spectrum inevitably contaminates the $B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-$ event sample as the $m^2_{\mu\mu}$ signal window is enlarged downward. We showed that our method can realistically be applicable in Run 2 data, and would thereby allow to set the first limit for

$\mathcal{B}(B_s \to \mu^+\mu^-\gamma)$, or provide the first measurement thereof.

Acknowledgements

The work of DG is partially supported by the CNRS grant PICS07229. The authors thank Gino Isidori for comments on the manuscript, and Mikolaj Misiak for discussions.

References

[1] C. Bobeth, T. Ewerth, F. Krüger and J. Urban, *Analysis of neutral Higgs boson contributions to the decays $\bar{B}_s \to \ell^+\ell^-$ and $\bar{B} \to K\ell^+\ell^-$*, *Phys. Rev.* **D64** (2001) 074014, [hep-ph/0104284].

[2] C. Bobeth, M. Gorbahn, T. Hermann, M. Misiak, E. Stamou et al., *$B_{s,d} \to \ell^+\ell^-$ in the Standard Model with Reduced Theoretical Uncertainty*, *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **112** (2014) 101801, [1311.0903].

[3] CMS, LHCb collaboration, V. Khachatryan et al., *Observation of the rare $B^0_s \to \mu^+\mu^-$ decay from the combined analysis of CMS and LHCb data*, *Nature* (2015) , [1411.4413].

[4] R. Alonso, B. Grinstein and J. Martin Camalich, *$SU(2) \times U(1)$ gauge invariance and the shape of new physics in rare B decays*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113** (2014) 241802, [1407.7044].

[5] W. Altmannshofer and D. M. Straub, *New physics in $b \to s$ transitions after LHC run 1*, *Eur. Phys. J.* **C75** (2015) 382, [1411.3161].

[6] F. Kruger and D. Melikhov, *Gauge invariance and form-factors for the decay $B \to \gamma\ell^+\ell^-$*, *Phys. Rev.* **D67** (2003) 034002, [hep-ph/0208256].

[7] C. Q. Geng, C. C. Lih and W.-M. Zhang, *Study of $B_{s,d} \to \ell^+\ell^-\gamma$ decays*, *Phys. Rev.* **D62** (2000) 074017, [hep-ph/0007252].

[8] Y. Dincer and L. M. Sehgal, *Charge asymmetry and photon energy spectrum in the decay $B_s \to \ell^+\ell^-\gamma$*, *Phys. Lett.* **B521** (2001) 7–14, [hep-ph/0108144].

[9] S. Descotes-Genon and C. T. Sachrajda, *Universality of nonperturbative QCD effects in radiative B decays*, *Phys. Lett.* **B557** (2003) 213–223, [hep-ph/0212162].

[10] T. M. Aliev, A. Ozpineci and M. Savci, *$B_q \to \ell^+\ell^-\gamma$ decays in light cone QCD*, *Phys. Rev.* **D55** (1997) 7059–7066, [hep-ph/9611393].

[11] D. Melikhov and N. Nikitin, *Rare radiative leptonic decays $B^0_{d,s} \to \ell^+\ell^-\gamma$*, *Phys. Rev.* **D70** (2004) 114028, [hep-ph/0410146].

[12] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., *Test of lepton universality using $B^+ \to K^+\ell^+\ell^-$ decays*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113** (2014) 151601, [1406.6482].

[13] M. Bordone, G. Isidori and A. Pattori, *On the Standard Model predictions for R_K and R_{K^*}*, 1605.07633.

[14] C. Bobeth, G. Hiller and G. Piranishvili, *Angular distributions of $\bar{B} \to \bar{K}\ell^+\ell^-$ decays*, *JHEP* **12** (2007) 040, [0709.4174].

[15] HPQCD collaboration, C. Bouchard, G. P. Lepage, C. Monahan, H. Na and J. Shigemitsu, *Standard Model Predictions for $B \to K\ell^+\ell^-$ with Form Factors from Lattice QCD*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111** (2013) 162002, [1306.0434].

[16] G. Hiller and F. Krüger, *More model independent analysis of $b \to s$ processes*, *Phys. Rev.* **D69** (2004) 074020, [hep-ph/0310219].

[17] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., *Differential branching fractions and isospin asymmetries of $B \to K^{(*)}\mu^+\mu^-$ decays*, *JHEP* **06** (2014) 133, [1403.8044].

[18] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., *Differential branching fraction and angular analysis of the $B^+ \to K^+\mu^+\mu^-$ decay*, *JHEP* **02** (2013) 105, [1209.4284].

[19] C. Bobeth, G. Hiller and D. van Dyk, *More Benefits of Semileptonic Rare B Decays at Low Recoil: CP Violation*, *JHEP* **07** (2011) 067, [1105.0376].

[20] C. Bobeth, G. Hiller, D. van Dyk and C. Wacker, *The Decay $B \to K\ell^+\ell^-$ at Low Hadronic Recoil and Model-Independent $\Delta B = 1$ Constraints*, *JHEP* **01** (2012) 107, [1111.2558].

[21] C. Bobeth, G. Hiller and D. van Dyk, *General analysis of $\bar{B} \to \bar{K}^{(*)}\ell^+\ell^-$ decays at low recoil*, *Phys. Rev.* **D87** (2013) 034016, [1212.2321].

[22] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., *Angular analysis and differential branching fraction of the decay $B_s^0 \to \phi\mu^+\mu^-$*, *JHEP* **09** (2015) 179, [1506.08777].

[23] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., *Differential branching fraction and angular analysis of the decay $B_s^0 \to \phi\mu^+\mu^-$*, *JHEP* **07** (2013) 084, [1305.2168].

[24] S. Descotes-Genon, T. Hurth, J. Matias and J. Virto, *Optimizing the basis of $B \to K^*\ell\ell$ observables in the full kinematic range*, *JHEP* **05** (2013) 137, [1303.5794].

[25] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., *Measurement of Form-Factor-Independent Observables in the Decay $B^0 \to K^{*0}\mu^+\mu^-$*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111** (2013) 191801, [1308.1707].

[26] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., *Angular analysis of the $B^0 \to K^{*0}\mu^+\mu^-$ decay using 3 fb^{-1} of integrated luminosity*, *JHEP* **02** (2016) 104, [1512.04442].

[27] Belle collaboration, A. Abdesselam et al., *Angular analysis of $B^0 \to K^*(892)^0\ell^+\ell^-$*, 2016. 1604.04042.

[28] A. Khodjamirian, T. Mannel, A. A. Pivovarov and Y. M. Wang, *Charm-loop effect in $B \to K^{(*)}\ell^+\ell^-$ and $B \to K^*\gamma$*, *JHEP* **09** (2010) 089, [1006.4945].

[29] S. Descotes-Genon, J. Matias and J. Virto, *Understanding the $B \to K^*\mu^+\mu^-$ Anomaly*, *Phys. Rev.* **D88** (2013) 074002, [1307.5683].

[30] J. Lyon and R. Zwicky, *Resonances gone topsy turvy - the charm of QCD or new physics in $b \to s\ell^+\ell^-$?*, 1406.0566.

[31] S. Jäger and J. Martin Camalich, *Reassessing the discovery potential of the $B \to K^*\ell^+\ell^-$ decays in the large-recoil region: SM challenges and BSM opportunities*, *Phys. Rev.* **D93** (2016) 014028, [1412.3183].

[32] M. Ciuchini, M. Fedele, E. Franco, S. Mishima, A. Paul, L. Silvestrini et al., *$B \to K^* \ell^+ \ell^-$ decays at large recoil in the Standard Model: a theoretical reappraisal*, 1512.07157.

[33] G. Hiller and M. Schmaltz, *R_K and future $b \to s\ell\ell$ physics beyond the standard model opportunities*, *Phys. Rev.* **D90** (2014) 054014, [1408.1627].

[34] D. Ghosh, M. Nardecchia and S. Renner, *Hint of Lepton Flavour Non-Universality in B Meson Decays*, *JHEP* **1412** (2014) 131, [1408.4097].

[35] S. L. Glashow, D. Guadagnoli and K. Lane, *Lepton Flavor Violation in B Decays?*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114** (2015) 091801, [1411.0565].

[36] B. Bhattacharya, A. Datta, D. London and S. Shivashankara, *Simultaneous Explanation of the R_K and $R(D^{(*)})$ Puzzles*, *Phys. Lett.* **B742** (2015) 370–374, [1412.7164].

[37] T. Blake, G. Lanfranchi and D. M. Straub, *Rare B Decays as Tests of the Standard Model*, 1606.00916.

[38] D. Yennie, S. C. Frautschi and H. Suura, *The infrared divergence phenomena and high-energy processes*, *Annals Phys.* **13** (1961) 379–452.

[39] S. Weinberg, *Infrared photons and gravitons*, *Phys.Rev.* **140** (1965) B516–B524.

[40] G. Isidori, *Soft-photon corrections in multi-body meson decays*, *Eur.Phys.J.* **C53** (2008) 567–571, [0709.2439].

[41] A. J. Buras, J. Girrbach, D. Guadagnoli and G. Isidori, *On the Standard Model prediction for $BR(B^0_{s,d} \to \mu^+\mu^-)$*, *Eur. Phys. J.* **C72** (2012) 2172, [1208.0934].

[42] P. Golonka and Z. Was, *PHOTOS Monte Carlo: A Precision tool for QED corrections in Z and W decays*, *Eur. Phys. J.* **C45** (2006) 97–107, [hep-ph/0506026].

[43] A. Kozachuk, D. Melikhov and N. Nikitin, *Rare radiative leptonic B-decays*, 2016. 1609.06491.

[44] Y. G. Aditya, K. J. Healey and A. A. Petrov, *Faking $B^0_s \to \mu^+\mu^-$*, *Phys. Rev.* **D87** (2013) 074028, [1212.4166].

[45] D. Guadagnoli, D. Melikhov and M. Reboud, *More Lepton Flavor Violating Observables for LHCb's Run 2*, *Phys. Lett.* **B760** (2016) 442–447, [1605.05718].

[46] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., *Measurement of the $B^0_s \to \mu^+\mu^-$ branching fraction and search for $B^0 \to \mu^+\mu^-$ decays at the LHCb experiment*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111** (2013) 101805, [1307.5024].

[47] CMS collaboration, S. Chatrchyan et al., *Measurement of the $B^0_s \to \mu^+\mu^-$ branching fraction and search for $B^0 \to \mu^+\mu^-$ with the CMS Experiment*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111** (2013) 101804, [1307.5025].

[48] ATLAS collaboration, M. Aaboud et al., *Study of the rare decays of B^0_s and B^0 into muon pairs from data collected during the LHC Run 1 with the ATLAS detector*, 1604.04263.

[49] BaBar collaboration, B. Aubert et al., *Search for the decays $B^0 \to e^+e^-\gamma$ and $B^0 \to \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$*, *Phys. Rev.* **D77** (2008) 011104, [0706.2870].